Time-ordered perturbation theory calculation of the axial anomaly in QED_{1+1} in LFD

Bailing Ma

Apr. 12, 2024

group meeting NCSU

<ロ > < />

- It is often thought that the simple vacuum structure that comes with the light-front quantization is incompatible with the various nontrivial vacuum phenomena in low-energy hadron physics, for example, the axial anomaly.
- It is well known that regularization procedure in quantum field theory sometimes cannot preserve all of the classical symmetries, so in a quantized theory, the axial vector Ward identity has to be sacrificed in order to preserve the vector one, although on the classical level both are preserved.
- Axial anomaly in the Schwinger model may be understood as stemming from the divergent fermion loop integral, the same which gives the photon its mass.

¹C.-R. Ji and S. -J. Rey, *Light front view of the axial anomaly*, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 5815 (1996)

- Last time I talked about the time-ordered perturbation theory calculation of the axial anomaly in the interpolation form of dynamics.
- This time I'm going to talk about the light-front side of the same calculation.

Axial anomaly

• The axial anomaly in QED₂ stems from the two-point function

$$T^{5}_{\mu
u}(x-y) = \langle T(J_{\mu}(x)J^{5}_{\nu}(y)) \rangle$$
 (1)

 The Feynman diagram relevant for the axial anomaly in two dimensions is shown below



A naively regularized result will not fulfill the correct Ward Identity (WI) whereas the proper dimensional or Pauli-Villars regularized Feynman integral will do so.

Dimensional regularization

- Before we go into the LFD calculation, let us review how this calculation is traditionally done in the manifestly covariant manner.
- Due to the relationship $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{\nu}$ in two dimensions, it is sufficient to calculate $T^{\mu\nu}$ in order to obtain $T^{5}_{\mu\nu}$.

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q) = ie^{2} \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{Tr \left[\gamma^{\mu}(\not{k}+m)\gamma^{\nu}(\not{k}-\not{q}+m)\right]}{[k^{2}-m^{2}+i\epsilon]\left[(k-q)^{2}-m^{2}+i\epsilon\right]} = ie^{2} \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{(k_{\alpha}k_{\beta}-k_{\alpha}q_{\beta})Tr \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta}\right]+m^{2}Tr \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\right]}{[k^{2}-m^{2}+i\epsilon]\left[(k-q)^{2}-m^{2}+i\epsilon\right]}.$$
$$= ie^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} \times \frac{(k_{\alpha}k_{\beta}-k_{\alpha}q_{\beta})Tr \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta}\right]+m^{2}Tr \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\right]}{[k^{2}+2(x-1)k\cdot q-(x-1)q^{2}-m^{2}]^{2}}.$$
(2)

◆ロ → ◆個 → ◆臣 → ◆臣 → ○ ● ● ●

5/29

There are three terms in Eq. (2), and we shall call them as

ł

$$I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q) \equiv ie^{2} \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{k_{\alpha}k_{\beta} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta}\right]}{D_{1}D_{2}},$$

$$I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q) \equiv -ie^{2} \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{k_{\alpha}q_{\beta} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta}\right]}{D_{1}D_{2}},$$
(3)

and

$$I_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}(q) \equiv ie^2 \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}\right]}{D_1 D_2},$$
(5)

where $D_1 = k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon$ and $D_2 = (k - q)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon$. We see that $I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$ is (naively) logarithmically divergent while $I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$ and $I_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$ are not divergent.

Naive calculation

If one is being careless and shifts momentum normally in Eq. (2) as one does with Feynman parametrization, one easily gets

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q) = ie^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int \frac{d^{2}k}{(2\pi)^{2}} \left\{ [k - (x - 1)q]_{\alpha} [k - (x - 1)q - q]_{\beta} \times Tr \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\beta} \right] + m^{2} Tr \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \right] \right\} / (k^{2} - \Delta^{2})^{2},$$
(6)

where

$$\Delta^2 = x(x-1)q^2 + m^2.$$
 (7)

Going to the Euclidean momentum,

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \int d^2 k_E \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} k_E^2 + x(x-1) q_\alpha q_\beta \right] \times Tr \left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta \right] + m^2 Tr \left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \right] \right\} / (k_E^2 + \Delta^2)^2.$$
(8)

This is

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)q_\alpha q_\beta \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta\right] + m^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu\right]}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} \\ = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)(2q^\mu q^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} q^2) + g^{\mu\nu} m^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}.$$
 (9)

We see that the naive Feynman parametrization calculation misses the anomaly term which should be present. In a divergent integral, one has to be careful and shifting the momentum variable by a constant is not always allowed.

Correct dimensional regularization: reference

RIVISTA DEL NUOVO CIMENTO

VOL 16. N 8

1993

Overview on the Anomaly and Schwinger Term in Two-Dimensional QED(*).

C. ADAM, R. A. BERTLMANN and P. HOFER Institut für Theoretische Physik - Universität Wien

(ricevuto il 4 Marzo 1993)

APPENDIX

Feynman integrals in n dimensions:

(A.1)
$$I_0 = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^n p}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{(p^2 + 2kp + M^2)^a} = \frac{i(-\pi)^{n/2}}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - n/2)}{\Gamma(\alpha) (M^2 - k^2)^{a - n/2}}$$

(A.2)
$$I_{\mu} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} p}{(2\pi)^{n}} \frac{p_{\mu}}{(p^{2} + 2kp + M^{2})^{\alpha}} = -k_{\mu} I_{0},$$

(A.3)
$$I_{\mu\nu} = \int \frac{d^{n}p}{(2\pi)^{n}} \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{(p^{2}+2kp+M^{2})^{q}} = I_{0} \left(k_{\mu}k_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{M^{2}-k^{2}}{\alpha-n/2-1}\right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

We get

$$I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = ie^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx \left(\frac{i(-\pi)}{(2\pi)^{2}(-(x-1)q^{2}-m^{2}-(x-1)^{2}q^{2})} \right) \\ \times \left((x-1)^{2}q_{\alpha}q_{\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{-(x-1)q^{2}-m^{2}-(x-1)^{2}q^{2}}{2-n} \right) \\ \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta} \right] \\ = -\frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{(x-1)^{2}q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}\operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta} \right]}{x(x-1)q^{2}+m^{2}} \\ + \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{2-n} g_{\alpha\beta}\operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta} \right].$$
(10)

According to the *n*-dimensional formula

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\beta} \right] = 2(2-n) g^{\mu\nu}.$$
(11)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thus,

$$I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{(x-1)^2 q_\alpha q_\beta \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta\right]}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} + \frac{e^2}{2\pi} g^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{(x-1)^2 (2q^\mu q^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} q^2)}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} + \frac{e^2}{2\pi} g^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (12)

The other two terms can be calculated without difficulty as

$$I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{(x-1)q_\alpha q_\beta \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\beta\right]}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} \\ = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{(x-1)(2q^\mu q^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} q^2)}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}$$
(13)

 and

$$I_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{m^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}\right]}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} \\ = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{g^{\mu\nu} m^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}.$$
(14)

Combining the three pieces, we get

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)(2q^{\mu}q^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}q^2) + g^{\mu\nu}m^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} + \frac{e^2}{2\pi}g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (15)$$

which satisfies gauge invariance

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q) = T(q^2) \left(\frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^2} - g^{\mu\nu} \right)$$
(16)

Then the vector—axial vector two point function can be obtained by $T_5^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{\nu\lambda} T_\lambda^\mu$:

$$T_5^{\mu\nu}(q) = T(q^2)\varepsilon^{\nu\lambda} \left(\frac{q^{\mu}q_{\lambda}}{q^2} - g_{\lambda}^{\mu}\right).$$
(17)

It fulfils the vector current conservation,

$$q_{\mu}T_{5}^{\mu\nu}(q) = T(q^{2})\varepsilon^{\nu\lambda}q_{\mu}\left(\frac{q^{\mu}q_{\lambda}}{q^{2}} - g_{\lambda}^{\mu}\right) = 0, \qquad (18)$$

and the anomalous axial vector current,

$$q_{\nu} T_5^{\mu\nu}(q) = T(q^2) \varepsilon^{\nu\lambda} q_{\nu} \left(\frac{q^{\mu} q_{\lambda}}{q^2} - g_{\lambda}^{\mu} \right) = -T(q^2) q_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\nu\mu}.$$
(19)

Pauli-Villars regularization

Another, equally valid way of dealing with the divergent integral is Pauli-Villars regularization. It is defined as

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{PV}(q) = T^{\mu\nu}(q,m) - T^{\mu\nu}(q,\Lambda_{PV})|_{\Lambda_{PV}\to\infty}.$$
 (20)

In the previous, naive calculation, we obtained

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q,m) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)(2q^{\mu}q^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}q^2) + g^{\mu\nu}m^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}.$$
 (21)

Thus,

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q,\Lambda_{PV})|_{\Lambda_{PV}\to\infty} = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi}g^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (22)

Therefore,

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{PV}(q) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)(2q^{\mu}q^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}q^2) + g^{\mu\nu}m^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} + \frac{e^2}{2\pi}g^{\mu\nu},$$
(23)

same as the dimensionally regularized result.

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

LFD calculation of the axial anomaly Feynman diagram

For the LFD calculation, we use Pauli-Villars regularization

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{PV}(q) = T^{\mu\nu}(q,m) - T^{\mu\nu}(q,\Lambda_{PV})|_{\Lambda_{PV}\to\infty}.$$
(24)

Starting from Eqs. (3), (4) and (5), we write them in the light-front coordinates.

$$I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{k_{\alpha}k_{\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta}\right]}{\left[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon\right]\left[2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon\right]}; \quad (25)$$

$$I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{k_{\alpha}q_{\beta} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\beta}\right]}{\left[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon\right] \left[2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon\right]}; \quad (26)$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > ○ < ○</p>
14/29

and

$$I_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{m^2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}\right]}{\left[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon\right] \left[2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon\right]}.$$
 (27)

There is only one time-ordered diagram:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆厘▶ ◆厘▶

15/29

The simplest term, $l^{\mu\nu}_{(3)}(q)$, can be calculated easily as the following.

$$I_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2} 2g^{\mu\nu} m^2 \int dk^+ \int dk^- \frac{1}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]} \\ = \frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2} 2g^{\mu\nu} m^2 (-2\pi i)q^+ \frac{1}{2k^+2(k-q)^+ \left(\frac{m^2}{2k^+} - \frac{m^2}{2(k-q)^+} - \frac{q^2}{2q^+}\right)} \\ = g^{\mu\nu} \frac{e^2 m^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{x(x-1) \left(\frac{m^2}{x} - \frac{m^2}{x-1} - q^2\right)} \\ = g^{\mu\nu} \frac{e^2 m^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{-1}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2},$$
(28)

in agreement with the covariant calculation, Eq. (14).

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 り < ○ 16/29</p>

Now, for the second term, $I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$, we can calculate as follows, applying the trace of four gamma matrices formula.

$$I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{k^{\mu}q^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}k \cdot q + q^{\mu}k^{\nu}}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon][2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]},$$
(29)

and now we can write out and calculate each and every component of $I_{(2)}^{\mu
u}(q)$ as the following

$$I_{(2)}^{++}(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{2k^+q^+}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]} \\ = \frac{e^2}{2\pi} (2q^+q^+) \int_0^1 dx \frac{x}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} \\ = \frac{e^2}{2\pi} (2q^+q^+) \int_0^1 dx \frac{1-x}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}.$$
(30)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ < つ < ○</p>
17/29

Other components are

$$I_{(2)}^{+-}(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{k^+ q^- - k \cdot q + q^+ k^-}{[2k^+ k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+ (k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]} = 0;$$
(31)

$$I_{(2)}^{-+}(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{k^- q^+ - k \cdot q + q^- k^+}{[2k^+ k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+ (k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]} = 0;$$
(32)

 and

$$I_{(2)}^{--}(q) = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \times \frac{2k^- q^-}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]}.$$
 (33)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Eq. (33) is exactly the calculation that was done in 2 , where it was shown that a naive calculation missing the LF zero mode does not give the correct answer.



²B. Bakker, M. DeWitt, C. -R. Ji, and Y. Mishchenko, *Restoring the equivalence between the light-front and manifestly covariant formalisms*, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 076005 (2005)

In our case here, because of equal mass in the two propagators, accounting for the arc contribution is enough to obtain the correct answer. We get

$$\begin{split} I_{(2)}^{--}(q) &= -\frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} q^- \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ &\times \frac{k^-}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] \left[2(k-q)^+ (k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon \right]} \\ &= -\frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} q^- (-2\pi i) q^+ \\ &\times \int_0^1 dx \frac{\frac{m^2}{2k^+}}{2k^+ 2(k-q)^+ \left(\frac{m^2}{2k^+} - \frac{m^2}{2(k-q)^+} - \frac{q^2}{2q^+}\right)} \\ &+ \frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} q^- \int dk^+ \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_0^{-\pi} iRe^{i\theta} d\theta \frac{Re^{i\theta}}{2k^+ 2(k-q)^+ (Re^{i\theta})^2} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{\pi} q^- q^- \frac{1}{q^2} \int_0^1 dx \left\{ \frac{m^2}{x \left[x(x-1)q^2 + m^2 \right]} + \frac{1}{2x(x-1)} \right\}. \end{split}$$
(34)

In which

$$\frac{m^2}{x\left[x(x-1)q^2+m^2\right]} = \frac{(1-x)q^2}{x(x-1)q^2+m^2} + \frac{1}{x}$$
(35)

and

$$\int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{2x(x-1)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{x} + \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{1-x} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{x} + \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{x} \right)$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{1}{x}.$$
(36)

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ りゃぐ

Thus, the answer is

$$I_{(2)}^{--}(q) = \frac{e^2}{\pi} q^- q^- \frac{1}{q^2} \int_0^1 dx \left\{ \frac{(1-x)q^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x} \right\}$$
$$= \frac{e^2}{\pi} q^- q^- \int_0^1 dx \frac{(1-x)}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}.$$
(37)

Looking at all four components of the calculation of $I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$, we see that the answer agrees with the result from the covariant way, Eq. (13).

21/29

Now, let us turn to the divergent term, $I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$.

$$I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{2k^{\mu}k^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}k^2}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon][2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]}.$$
 (38)

In terms of LF components, again the ++ component can be calculated easily

$$I_{(1)}^{++}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \times \frac{2k^+k^+}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]} = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} (2q^+q^+) \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} (2q^+q^+) \int_0^1 dx \frac{(1-x)^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2};$$
(39)

The +- and -+ components are again zeros

$$I_{(1)}^{+-}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{2k^+k^- - k^2}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]} = 0; \quad (40)$$

$$I_{(1)}^{-+}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{2k^-k^+ - k^2}{[2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]} = 0; \quad (41)$$

 and

$$I_{(1)}^{--}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \\ \times \frac{2k^- k^-}{[2k^+ k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon] [2(k-q)^+ (k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon]}.$$
 (42)

Eq. (42) again if calculated naively, will lead to incorrect result. Following the flying pole paper, we calculate Eq. (42) in a similar way.

$$I_{(1)}^{--}(q) = \frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \frac{(k^-)^2}{D_1 D_2},$$
(43)

where

$$D_1 = 2k^+k^- - m^2 + i\epsilon, (44)$$

$$D_2 = 2(k-q)^+(k-q)^- - m^2 + i\epsilon.$$
(45)

We will utilize the "asymptotic method" discussed in the flying pole paper. When $k^-\to\infty$ and $k^+\to0,$

$$V_{asy1} = \frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \frac{(k^-)^2}{D_1 2(-q^+)k^-} = -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \frac{k^-}{D_1}.$$
(46)

<□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ > 24/29

Taking derivative with respect to m^2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} V_{asy1} &= -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \int_{-R}^{R} dk^- \frac{k^-}{D_1^2} \\ &= -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \left[\frac{-\frac{m^2}{2k^+k^- - m^2} + \ln\left(m^2 - 2k^+k^-\right)}{4(k^+)^2} \right]_{k^- = -R}^{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \frac{i\pi}{4(k^+)^2},$$
(47)

where $k^+
ightarrow$ 0. When $k^-
ightarrow \infty$ and $k^+
ightarrow q^+$,

$$V_{asy2} = \frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \frac{(k^-)^2}{D_2 2q^+ k^-} = \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \frac{k^-}{D_2}.$$
 (48)

Taking derivative with respect to m^2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} V_{asy2} &= \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \int_{-R}^{R} dk^- \frac{k^-}{D_2^2} \\ &= \frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \left[\frac{-\frac{2(k^+ - q^+)q^- + m^2}{2(k^+ - q^+)(k^- - q^-) - m^2} + \ln\left(m^2 - 2(k^+ - q^+)(k^- - q^-)\right)}{4(k^+ - q^+)^2} \right]_{k^- = -R}^{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$=\frac{ie^2}{2\pi^2 q^+} \int dk^+ \frac{i\pi}{4(k^+ - q^+)^2},$$
(49)

where $k^+ - q^+ \rightarrow 0$.

So actually,

$$V_{asy1} + V_{asy2} = 0.$$
 (50)

$$\begin{split} I_{(1)}^{--}(q) &= \left[I_{(1)}^{--}(q) - V_{asy1} - V_{asy2} \right] + V_{asy1} + V_{asy2} \\ &= \frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{2q^+} \int dk^+ \int dk^- k^- \frac{2k^- q^+ + D_2 - D_1}{D_1 D_2} + V_{asy1} + V_{asy2} \\ &= \frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{2q^+} \int dk^+ \int dk^- k^- \frac{2q^-(q^+ - k^+)}{D_1 D_2} + V_{asy1} + V_{asy2} \\ &= \frac{ie^2}{\pi^2} \int dk^+ \int dk^- \frac{k^- q^-(1 - k^+/q^+)}{D_1 D_2} + V_{asy1} + V_{asy2}. \end{split}$$
(51)

Now the power of k^- has been reduced, and the k^- integration is exactly as in Eq. (34). Thus, we obtain

$$I_{(1)}^{--}(q) = - \frac{e^2}{\pi} q^- q^- \int_0^1 dx \frac{(1-x)^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Combining the results from all four components calculation of $I_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$, and adding it to the results of $I_{(2)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$ and $I_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}(q)$, we get

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q,m) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)(2q^{\mu}q^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}q^2) + g^{\mu\nu}m^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2}.$$
 (52)

Repeating the calculations for $m = \Lambda_{PV}$, we get

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q,\Lambda_{PV}) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)(2q^{\mu}q^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}q^2) + g^{\mu\nu}\Lambda_{PV}^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + \Lambda_{PV}^2}.$$
 (53)

Taking $\Lambda_{PV} \to \infty$,

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu}(q,\Lambda_{PV})|_{\Lambda_{PV}\to\infty} = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi}g^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (54)

Thus,

$$T_{PV}^{\mu\nu}(q) = T^{\mu\nu}(q,m) - T^{\mu\nu}(q,\Lambda_{PV})|_{\Lambda_{PV}\to\infty}$$

= $-\frac{e^2}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x(x-1)(2q^{\mu}q^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}q^2) + g^{\mu\nu}m^2}{x(x-1)q^2 + m^2} + \frac{e^2}{2\pi}g^{\mu\nu}.$ (55)

- From this we see that LFD calculation gives the same result agreeing with the manifestly covariant calculations.
- The anomaly can be understood as coming from the divergent integral in the $l_{(1)}^{--}(q)$ computation contributed from the LF zero mode $\sim \delta(k^+)$ and $\sim \delta(q^+ k^+)$.
- Because of gauge invariance $q_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$, we can relate e.g., $T^{+-} = -\frac{q_{-}}{q_{+}}T^{--}$. Thus, by properly calculating the T^{--} component, we obtain the correct axial anomaly term $\frac{e^{2}}{2\pi}g^{\mu\nu}$ which manifests only in the T^{+-} and T^{-+} components in LFD due to the metric, $g^{++} = g^{--} = 0$.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

Thank you for your attention!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □